1 6 MAY 1972 Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP80-01601

Rogers Angrily Hits Back At Democrats on Mining

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 15—Section 1962 during the Kennedy retary of State William P. Rog-Administration. "This is the ers, his voice rising in anger, time we must stand behind our today defended the mining of President," he said. North Vietnam's harbors and Understand 'Naked Power' said that if the Johnson Admin-Istration had taken the step to Moscow, Dr. Butz said that earlier, the war might have end- the Russians "understand the ed long ago.

In a sharp, highly political exchange with Senator William The Secretary of the Interior, Proxmire, Democrat of Wiscon-Rogers C. B. Morton, in a sin, Mr. Rogers said that the speech prepared for delivery to Administration was confident that the President's latest moves would not lead to a showdown with either the Russians or the Chinese. The moves, he said, might lead to a pegotiated eat the Republican Boosters Club in New York, said that "in contrast to the majority of Americans, most Democrats in the Congress have not supported the President." He went on, "I might lead to a pegotiated eat. might lead to a negotiated set- am saddened by the vicious tlement with the North Viet-partisan reaction of those who namese.

Meanwhile the Pentagon disclosed that the mined waterways in North Vietnam included field developments in South rivers and canals. [Page 14.]

operations subcommitte of the tion with the Soviet Union and Senate Appropriations Committe, was clearly leading an action which was resisted by Administration against Democrats who had cri-

whelmingly" supported Mr. would not have lasted as long Nixon's moves and that members of Congress should rally Rogers said. "People who made Rogers said. "People who made Rogers said." around the President and put mistake after mistake said it Mr. Proxmire or by the two working well in South Vietnam off criticism "until the campaign begins."

In a quick rejoinder, Mr. Proxmire, who has opposed the bombing of North Vietnam, said that he would be "remiss in his duties" if he did not attack the latest moves.

Two other Cabinet officers. who have rarely spoken out on current foreign policy issues, also joined in the attack on Democrats today.

. Secretary of Agriculture Earl L. Butz, in a speech to the American Advertising Federa-tion here, said that domestic criticism of Mr. Nixon should be halted for several weeks. He likened the situation in Vietnam to the Cuban missile crisis

language of naked power-the

Mr. Proxmire, in questioning Mr. Rogers, said that he was encouraged by the latest battle-Vietnam, but asked why it was Secretary Rogers, testifying necessary for the President to before Mr. Proxmire's foreign take the extraordinary action ships of other countries—an counteratack the other Administration?

the other Administration:

Mr. Rogers, who called Mr.
Nixon's speech last Monday, in catastrophe are not coming to pass." The latest Nixon war "may well lead to the ticized the mine-laying as an which the mining and other act of brinkmanship. Two more moves were announced, one of moves "may well lead to the members joined in the counterattack today.

Mr. Rogers said that the Mr. Proxmire's statement was not logical. He statement, Mr. Rogers asserted. "I don't be-American people had "over-American people had "over-tion took this action, the war

> was wrong," he continued, rejecting the premise that thecause the Democratic Adminis- Hawaii, and Mark O. Hatfield, done the same.

'Probably a Turning Point'

He said that "predictions of catastrophe are not coming to failure fo the invasion of the South and the other side may lieve it is a mistake and don't bors. believe it will lead to a con- In the years that such frontaton. It s probably a strategy was discussed, from turning point."

1966 to 1968, the Johnson Adfrontaton. It s probably a turnng point."

Mr. Proxmire or by the two provoke direct Soviet or Chiother Senators at the hearing, nese intervention in the war Hawaii, and Mark O. Hatfield, on the side of the North Viet-Republican of Oregon, why the namese. Nixon Administration had not As a memorandum in 1967 mind the harbors sooner if by Robert S. McNamara, the mned the harbors sooner if Defense Secretary, indicated, such action held out the prospect of ending the ear more

The secret Defense Depart-ment history of the Vietnam war through 1968 - the Pental time, the Administration begon papers — contained sev lieved that Peking was the most eral references to discussions belligerent Communist power in the Johnson Adminitration on minng the North Vetnamese

harbors.

In the years that such strate was discussed, from 1966 to 1968, the Johnon Administration rejected the propoal out of fear that it migh provke direct Sviet or Chinese interventon in the war on the sde of the North Vietnamese.

As a memorandum in 1967 by. Robert S. McNamara, the Defense Secretary, indicated, the Administration was concerned that Hanoi would fall increasingly under Peking's nfluence if it had to rely increasinly on Peking was the most belligerent Communist power and mght intensify the war.

Recent developments in Peking-Washington relations, however, have convinced the Nixon Administraton that Chna does al Security Study Memorandum not seek a broadening of the which recently become min

'Probably a Turning Point'

lieve it is a mistake and don't believe it will lead to a confrontation. It is probably a turning point."

quickly.

The secret Defense Depart- North Vietnamese, pass." The latest nixon war ment history of the Vietnam moves "may well lead to the war through 1968—the Pentagon papers-contained several references to discussions in the negoaite a settlement," Mr. Johnson Administration on min-Rogers asserted. "I don't be-ing the North Vietnamese har-

Mr. Rogers was not asked by ministration rejected the pro-

cerned that Hanoi would fall increasingly under Peking's influence if it had to rely increasingly on rail shipments. At that

and might intensify the war.

Recent developments in Peking - Washington relations, however, have convinced the Nixon Administration that China does not seek a broadening of the war.

Henry A. Kissinger, the President's adviser on national security, sought advice from various Government agencies at the start of the Nixon Administration and received con-

flicting views.

The Joint Chiefs of Staff and the State Department to a Emrail shipments. At that time, the ited extent believed that min-Administration believed that jing of the harbors, in conjuncing of the harbors, in conjunction with heavy bombing raids, could hurt North Vietnam significantly. But the Central Intelligence Agency generally opposed such an action. The views were included in Nation-I, which recently became pub-

> Last week Mr. Nixon and Mr. Kissinger said that the decision to lay the mines was the only alternative left to the United State to keep South Vietnam from being defeated. And last week, when it was not known if the action would lead to a showdown with the Soviet Union, Administration officials were much less outspoken than Mr. Rogers was today.

In his testimony, Mr. Rogers Mr. Rogers was not asked by also said Vietnamization was other Senators at the hearing and that the South Victnamese Hiram L. Fong, Republican of Hawaii, and Mark O. Hatfield. issue on both counts. He said tration had decided not to mine Republican of Oregon, why the issue on both counts. He said harbors Mr. Nixon should have Nixon Administration had not that the heavy use of American sea and air nowns proved the mined the harbors sooner if sea and air power proved the such action held out the pros- contrary, and that he remained such action held out the pros-pect of ending the war more dubious that the South Viet-namese could turn back the

Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP80-01601R000300350053-6